L. R

(1891-92)

182/91

1 & 17

Suit: Appeal of Ramanna & Kalelay
for the Shanbag's office of
Ceriem village Nanjangud Taluk
Mysore District

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
~~192~~ .
(L. 82)

File No. 182 of 1891

Serial Nos. 1—17

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 17

Major ~~Part~~ B for August 1896.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Ramana & Kalaba for the Khandeshi price of certain articles in Ranjangaon Taluka, Nagar District.

To Ramana son of Narsinhaji
Bandey, Kadaki, Kalaba
Ranjangaon Taluka.

No. 249 Dated 8. 8. 96.

L. 17. Your appeal is rejected.

Communicated.

GD 2233—A/B—20,000—14-6-20

P.J. 1680/92

1-53.

Index

Petitioners father Narsin maiya Kandee over charge under Mr Anil Coari Orders and ordered Enquiry after leave granted him.

× No. 513 of 3rd Nov 1883.

In reply to Mr Dy Comrs (2) Order requiring whether Mr Kaycampatta. Coar raises any objection to the Petitioner doing the work of the Anil Coar village (3) submits —

(2). 6/Jan 91 No. 778.

(3) 5.3.91 No. 156

The Kayam pattadars (Joshi Khane Kayam. Kay Ccr. Mysore) reply that if the Petitioner agrees to pay the amount Rs 630/- of the dance obtained against his father and deposits one years Jumabandi, there would be no objection to his doing the work.

2. The Karnbhoj (Petn)
has been doing the
work of the Govt village
Soorlalli & Handoor-
-lalli without the grum-
-plaint. No rules
appear to have been
framed for requiring
one years Jamabandi
as deposit. If the
Karnbhoj does not do
his work properly he
will render himself
liable to punishment.

That he (Patel)
does not consider
the Manyadari system to be
correct as it
is a Hakdari work.

The Manyadar
appears to say as
he does in view to
receive the decree
money.

Petr Govt. (M
Ramkal Rao the
required Ramanna
(Petn) was willing
to deposit one years

9 6.6.91
No. 10.

3 Jamabandi.

The Petitioner stated before the Amildar -

The Jamabandi of the 2 Govt villages was Rs 1500/.

That he had been doing the work of the villages for 5 or 6 years

That the Mamlatdar required the deposit being given he would give personal security

Further on the Patel says -

There is no reason for him to give any security - the money collected would remain with the Patel.

What he (Petitioner) has to do with accounts or not.

The Amildar forwarded the above -

Mr. Kennedy Bar
has leave to
order appeal against. × 922·49!

The Kayamkulam
bill has been referred
to above for want
of an certified copy
of a Muchalka
alleged to have been
given by Peter's father.
in 1860. It is the
effect that the
Outes would be con-
veyed properly. & that
the Income Tax
at liberty to discuss
him (Peter's father)
when he was at
fault.

26/1/92

Submitted —
In Case no: 853 on
the file of the Manjanpood
Bench

5

Lala Sajan Mewari
(wife of Niranjan Das)
filed his Petition
stating Niranjan Das
for the recovery of
Rs: 185 " 15 " 5 and
obtained a decree for
the amount and
Costs: the decree
was on account of
his money embezzled
by Petn's father. (vide
Niranjan Das Bundoan
Report No: 265 of 1st:
July 1887.)

The Petitioner's father
before the Bundoan
on the above date stated
that -

(1) he did not misappropriate the money.

(2) there were arrears due by the Estate
but that the Govt
when he was
sick & got a list
taken by their
underta[illegible]

to pay the amount
due as per bill
two months. ()

(3). That he was
hereby ordered
to take back on
account of item.

(4) That the decree
was on account
of such money.

(5) That he was
to pay to applicant
against the decree.

—

The Bailiff in
Concluding his report
No. 1060 of 25th
March 1961 referred to
above at the com-
mencement of this
memo dated
that the Bailiff
appears to object to
the Petitioner taking
up the duty appointed
with a view
recover the decree
money in an
indirect manner.
The reply referred to
in para 3 of the
memo is signed
by Miss Ray Rao.

By Cheque in his order
No: 10 of 6.4.91
issued to above mentd
Asker Ali Mridha
& the Petitioner was
failing to deposit
one years Jamabandi
only. Nothing was
said about the decree
money.

From the above the
amount embezzled
by Petitioners father
does not appear to
have been made
good.

/

H
27/1/92.

27/1/

Submitted - 8

The Dy Comrs report on the above point has been received & put up with this

It is to the effect that the Petitioner Ramanna has no objection to the money embezzled by his father being made good by the sale of his father's property, and if Govt so order, also from the emoluments attached to the Shanbhogi

Dated 2nd April 1892
No 1191.

x (part 1)

Meanwhile Ramanna sends in another petition dated 24th March 1892 It is to the effect that —

(1) the Petitioner can be depended upon & entrusted with the work of the Kanyanguttu village (Kanivanalli) also is evident from the fact of his managing the work of other Govt. villages

(2) that his father borrowed no money from the Kanyanguttuvars

3

3 When his father was sick a 'Baki Kaifi' (list of arrears) was taken under his father's signature. An Ex parte decree was obtained against his father. He amount may be recovered according to law. There is no connection between a 'Bakaya' Govt Account-ment and the decree money. A portion of the Petitioner's emoluments may be withheld. The Petitioner begs that a day of hearing may be fixed when he would represent his case fully.

8/3/92.

Posted for Hearing
21st July 92
12/2/92

12/4/92
Adj. to 15th sept 92
BO.
21/7/92

10

Shambhoji case

=

Recs contained

in

submitted to
the Council - with
refs.

9/4/92

8/3/92

11

(2)

Appn no 82 of 1891-92

Appt

Ramanna
of Surahalli
Nanjangud Taluq

Respt

Nanjarayappa &c.
represented by
his adopted
Subbanna.

Appt's father Narasimha
was the Shanbhog of Surahalli - a
Nanjangud
Nanjangud village held by the Respt.

He absconded in 1883, but when
he returned in 1886, the Respt refused to allow
him to resume office as he embezzled
certain collections made in the village. He appealed
to the D.C. who refused to interfere in the matter
in 1887. He died in 1890. Appt now claims the
office. — The Respt is willing to give him the
office if he pays the money embezzled by
his father & furnishes security for his good
behaviour. —

The appellant's father admitted

in writing that he
embezzled No 485
& promised to repay
pay the money, but
he having failed to do
so, the Respt obtained
a Decree against him
for that amount,
which remains
unsecured, apparently
for want of assets.—

Appts contention
is that there is no
connection between
the Decree & the office
which is hereditary &
that the appointment
should be given to him.
— The Govt do not
consider the contention
to be valid. He must
make good the money
embezzled by his father:
Until then, he cannot
be allowed to claim the
office. The appeal
is dismissed.

18 Jan 92

20/11

Govt
We are informed
that his claim to
the office cannot be
admitted until
he makes good
the money embezzled
by his father.

18 Jan 92

20/11

Appeal in written time.
Petition is properly stamped
Copy of the order is printed
Copy, Rule 1 of Act Time
limitation is the Court
Certified

Case for Bo:
see
24/10/91

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

No. 1177

Name of Petitioner. Ramanah

Village. Kalalay.

Taluk. Nanjangud.

District. Mysore.

Date of Arzi. 29-8-91

Date of Receipt. 31-8-91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. 5

ABSTRACT.

State that the father
the Shanubogue of Soor
hulli, and Huntsman
2 Government villages
and Kalamanahulla
a Jodi village, dying
the petitioner is register
as Shanubogue of the
two Government villages
But the petitioner,
stating that his father
has appropriated the
Jodi money, refused
to entertain him
as Shanubogue of
the village unless
he furnish

Security for the Jail
money. The Petitioner
was is of the same
opinion.

Therefore he appeals
this Office on the
following grounds
viz:-

1) That
the demand is ~~groundless~~
unreasonable for his
father is declared to be not
guilty (Copy of Majority
Judgement in Case No. 62 of
1884, annexed hereto.)

2) That Counsel
with the Champagne who
acted for the petitioners
father during his illness
& being this fair charge;
3) Even if a Champagne
could such claim it
will not be a bar to
his claimants succession
to the office.

And prays that he
may be enabled to
succeed to the Jail
Warderships Champagne-
ship without furnishing
a Security to do so.

Deputy Comm's order
No: 36 dated 2nd April
19

As the petitioner's father
was ~~incapacitated~~ incapacitated
by money the

the petitioner cannot be made the shareholder of the gaonde village unless he acquires ~~the gaonde~~ -dars lands

Re. 162 K

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 1177
dated 29th Augt 91 received at the
Dewan's Office from Ramanuja
of Kulalay Nanjan-
good Taluk,

is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, to the Dy. Comr.
Mysore Distt for
submission of Proof
& report thereof.

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
24th Oct 1891.

Drafted as per
Cr under.

No.
1177
Regd

22.8.21

37

Court fee stamps affixed.

[illegible] 50

[illegible]

7 [illegible]

[illegible]

8 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

6 [illegible]

[illegible]

1877 [illegible]

ਸ਼ਰਤ ਕਰਾਰਨਾਮਾ ਹਜ਼ਾ ਦਾ ਹੈ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਮੁਕਾਮ ਮੌਜ਼ਾ ਕੋਟ ਸਾਰੰਗ ਵਿਚ ਹੋਇਆ

Nov 93

(General Serial No. 30).

8378
7 DEC. 91
P. 60

189X

OFFICE OF THE [illegible]
[illegible] OF MINDANAO

From The Deputy Commissioner
Moro District

To The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mindoro
[illegible]

Dated 3rd December
Despatched 4th "
Received

Enclosures No. 3 Plans & 1 x

General No. 726
No.

Official Memo: Transmitting
2 x Minutes forwarding, for
submission of Proceedings
with Precis a petition from
Kalalé Ramanut of the
Nanjangud Dalis.

Have the honor to submit
the proceedings as called for,
together with a precis.

Deputy Commissioner
Moro District

Petition of Kalalé Ramanut of the Nanjangud Dalis, praying that he may be appointed Datu of the Nanjangud Dalis.

Submitted to the Council - 9/12/91

Re-submitted to the Council with a memo

9/12/91

26/12/91

To the Secretary to the Council 12089 dated 26th Dec 1891

Returned to the Council 12089 26/12/91

32
7-12-91

Precis

Chambly Manscunkaggo
& Pinsdahalli Kahnepua
& Nagapeta Konanchi
petitioners petitions, stating
that by Inst Decree &c on
the 1st Sept 86 that the Ors
had had Execution
taken down in Execy by
his Order - that after the
1st Sept. when application
of petitioners had
asked back for discharge
he was prisn charged the
Sheriff with 2 Rs
the 5th Sept. that billage
on being petitioned, the
Amin came out & stuck
that the Ors had agreed
and he could not interfere
The Petrs petitioned that
the Collector would
direct any delay after
Execution, that
Ors should be
ordered to pay the
Costs & the release of
Chambly & the 5th Sept
Pinsdahalli not given.
The Amin should report on
oath in Collr's Court the
Petrs petition stating

of the 3 villages, that in '83
he had a commission from
that Court, the [illegible]
[illegible] charge
to the petitioner, [illegible]
on enquiry it was found
(1) that the Deputy Assistant
Commissioner [illegible]
the order of the Agent
(2) that for some unexplained
the petitions in '83 [illegible]
but the Court of Inquiry
[illegible] the latter
[illegible] was charged
some claim, but that
when he was dead in civil
court for 485-15-5 to
Illegible Kapungutta
he was denied appeal
(3) that [illegible] the case
the Agent [illegible]
that the petitioner
have the [illegible]
an injunction [illegible]
(4) that the petitioner
says he is [illegible]
nominated by Govt and
ought to receive
petition [illegible]
he also produces
his rights to [illegible]
being the order
in 1875 and [illegible]

A letter -

in consequence of the K
Sultan collecting the cattle
dues at present in
Mombasa and that he
now claims the place
included in his lease
the Acting Consul stated
that the appointment
of these Mombasa cattle
dues was not
the result of the present
Consul's representations
Mombasa should look after
cattle dues.
for work. If the Mombasa
Sultan did not like to take
work from the Mombasa
there is no objection to
Consul doing
dues for work on a fort belonging
and receiving in addition
to dues.
On a perusal of the papers
the Agent & Consul-General
Zanzibar
Mombasa, decided
that the dues in question belong
to the Sultan and that
Consul be instructed
to withdraw
given by Kyuttadar
this Mombasa
petition has since
died in May 1890.
Nor can now claim the
lease—

(General Serial No. 30).

1894/92

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

P. No.

Petn. Deptt. Mysore

Petn. returned
& Memorialist
Mr. Carmichael

8/4/92
JL

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 2

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated April 1892.

Despatched 3rd

Received

Enclosures No. 1 & V. file

General No. 1191

No.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
6-APR 92
P. 1

From 25 April 1892
To 26 April 1892

Has the honour to request that the Petition of Kayen Goolam Kasim Ranjangaon Talook.

With reference to the above, has the honour to submit herewith letter No. 208 dated 30 March 1892 received from the Amildar & Commissioner Taluk Enclosures, from which it will be seen that the Petitioner Ramanath has no objection to the

A3
6-4-92.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892

From

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Inamdar of Ranjangaon

Dated 19

Despatched 22 September

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 4665

Pet. No. 168-92

Calling for his judgment in civil suit No 353 of 1886

References to be marked.

money embezzled by his father having made good by the sale of his father's property and by Government order, also from [redacted] Emoluments attached to the Standing.

Adjutant
Deputy Commissioner
Mangalore.

Compd.
MM

To
The Municipal Engineer.

Sir the Honble Inquiry
that the Municipality will
submit his judgment
in case No. 503 of 1886 for
reference in the case of
Krishna Kamma of the
Nanjangud Taluk, now
in pending disposal
in this office.

By order

Deputy
(Commissioner)

Mangalore
14/8/1886
Under Secy

M. N

(General Serial No. 28.)

1892.

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. **No.** } **1892.**

Enclosures—Number.

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—18-8-91.—16,603.

No. 1280

Mysore Sheriff's Court
dated 28th September 1892

From

The Sheriff of
Mysore

To

The Chief Secretary
to the Govt of
Mysore

Sir,

I have the honour to submit the
report in Suit No. 4665/168 dated
the 22nd instant but omitted to record in the
Civil Suit No 853 of 1886 on the file of this
Court as it is expected that it may kindly
be closed there no longer required.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient & humble Servant
Sheriff
Mysore

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharajah of Mysore.

Appeal No. 82 of 1892

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Ramanna of Lakshmipuram, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore district.

Nanjaraja Urs, represented by Mr. A. N. Lakshmana.

Decision, dated 22nd N. 92.

Appellant's father Ramanna was the Shanbhog of Lakshmanapura, a Kayamganiha village under the Nanjangud Taluk. He took leave in 1883, but when he returned in 1886 the Respondent refused to allow him to resume his duties as he embezzled certain collections made in the village. He applied to the Deputy Commissioner, who refused to interfere in the matter, in 1889. He died in 1890. Appellant now claims the office. The Respondent is willing to give him the office if he pays the money embezzled by his father, Lakshmana [illegible]

for his good behaviour.

2. The Applicant's letter admitted in writing that he embezzled Rs 180/- and promised to pay the money, but he having failed to do so, the Respdt obtained a decree against him for that amount, which remains unexecuted, apparently for want of assets.

3. Applt's contention is that there is no connection between the decree and the office which is hereditary, and that the appointment should be given to him. The Govt do not consider the contention to be valid. He must make good the money embezzled by his father. Until then he cannot be allowed to claim the office. The appeal is dismissed.

By Order

Asst Secy

MRK 28/11

Chief Secretary.

hs 7.11.17 / Pet No 165-92 26/29 - 11-92

Copy of the Order, together with the papers sent with the same, forwarded to the Collector of Kistna.

By Order

MRK 28/11

Chief Secretary.

Collector.

20-8-92
29-11-92

A.S. No. 82

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
29 November 189 2.

Recd. - Samaraja Urs.

Endorsement granted to Ramanna of Surahalli, Kanivan-
pura Taluk, Mysore District,
in reply to his Petition Registered No. 1147 dated 22 Aug 92

Petitioner is informed that his claim to the office cannot be admitted until he makes good the money embezzled by his father.

2. The enclosures are returned.

LC
25/11/92

"By Order,"

Re-draft.

28/11
for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892.

From 33

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Municipality,
Kanjangud.

Dated 29-30 1-12-92

Despatched November 1892.

Received 1-12-92

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 7417

Pet. F. No. 103—92

Returning the entire Record in C.R. No. 853 pp. 586 on the file of this Court.

References to be marked

To

The Munsiff,
Nanjangud.

His docket No. 12803
28th Sept/92.

Have the honour to
herewith
return the entire Record
in O.S. No. 853 of 1886 on the
file of this Court, received with
the above.

By Order

Draft.

Head Clerk 30/9

W.

Submitted with reference to the Rev. Councillor's order on petn No 1165.

The petition has been returned by the My Comrs with his letter No 660 dated 9-12-95, the objectional passages having been removed by withdrawn by the petitioners.

12-12-95

Asst Secy Executive Council.

17-12-95

Mrs Norman
Respecting this
question. The
Ecclesiastical
was undecided
when Dunn obtained
he sales for it
his charges will
not be granted

18/12/95

Asst Secy Executive Council.

18-12-95

No. 58-95

1895

No. 1165

Name of Petitioner, [illegible]

Village, [illegible]

Taluk, [illegible]

District, [illegible]

Date of Arzi, 10-9-95

Date of Receipt, 14-9-95

Date of Disposal,

No. of Enclosure, 9

ABSTRACT.

[illegible]

on the 1st July 1936 and 3rd June 1936 the
Petitioner to withdraw the Petition No. 156 of 24-8-37 Subject-
-matter of the petition viz. Plot No. 161 of Devanur
Village - that he is now building the house
with the part village only - that the Respon-
-dent to file their objection of the Respondent
Village - that the said Respondent has
no Objection for the withdrawal of the petition
Petition No. 64 of 36 - 4-9-36 - that the Respondent
has been paying for the construction of the house -
has agreed to withdraw the petition - that
Settlement in the Civil Court in the above suit
Settlement No. 1167 of 6-7-37. Suit the above
of the Civil Court is the necessary that the amount
has really the Petitioner has paid has promised
to pay the amount in time - that the Respondent
Petition - that he has no objection to the Petition -
that it is not in the interest of the Respondent -
Subject, hence Petition - that the Petitioner
by fact that there is the Petitioner
does not prejudice in pursuing the Petition
Respondent, that the Petitioner
the Petition may be dismissed without
any further order (Petition dismissed).

M/9
BK

Gen. 31.]

1895

From

The Rt. Secretary to the
Govt of Myssore

To

The Deputy Commrs
Myssore Dist

Dated 25

Despatched 27 October 1895

Received

General No. 4033
Pet No. 850.

Enclosure No One

In forwarding herewith the accompanying Petition No 1165 dated 30th Sept 95, received at the Revenue Office from Ramanna son of Narasimmia, residing at Kaiala village, Nanjangud Taluk, praying for a review of Govt order of 20th November 1892 regarding his claim to the Chandhagi of the Kayamgutta village of Kesarasanahalli, has the honor to observe that the Petition is couched in highly objectionable language, and to request that the Dy Commissioner will send for the petitioner and point out to him the objectionable language

38

in the petition with such direction to withdraw same. The petitioners may further be informed that unless he does so, his petition will be rejected

Papers

Draft

25-10-95

Rec. Secretary

25/10

Gen. 31]



From The Dy Commissioner
Mysore District

To The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt of Mysore
L.R. 1892-91-19

Dated 9th December 1895.

Despatched

Received

General No. 680
No.

Enclosure No. 1.

Returns the petition of
Ramanna of Kaladi who
has withdrawn the objection-
able portions therein.

Rev Petn
11/12/95

No. 680 40 Deputy Commissioner's Office

Mysore District,

(12)

Dated Mysore 9th December 1895.

To,

The Revenue Secretary to the

Government of Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 4033 / P.A. 550 of the 25th October 1895, sending the petition of Ramanna of Kadaki in the Nanjangud Taluk and directing me to ask him to withdraw the objectionable portions in it, I beg to state that the individual who appeared today withdrew the exceptionable parts. The withdrawal is engrossed on the petition and the same herewith returned. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. servant

M. Venkataramanayya

Dy. Commissioner,

Mysore District.

MMK

Compd.

MEY.

I

II

III

ਸਾਰੇ ਸਿੱਖ ਸੰਗਤ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਤਾਕੀਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਸਾਰੇ ਸਿੱਖ ਸੰਗਤ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿਚ ਹਾਜ਼ਰ ਹੋਣ ਦੀ ਤਾਕੀਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ।

VII

VIII

IX

XII

[illegible]

IV [illegible]

V [illegible]

VI [illegible]

25/12/95

సంఖ్య
1165
3/9

25-12-95

[illegible] 49

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 21-12-95

By order

Sd/-

For Rev. Secretary

Recd

ಪುಟ 222

249.

16-7-96.

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਿੱਧ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦੁੱਖ ਦੂਰ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੁੱਖ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪਾਪ ਨਾਸ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁੰਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤੀਰਥ ਇਸ਼ਨਾਨ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਯੱਗ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦਾਨ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤਪ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਰਤ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਨੇਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਧਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਸਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵੇਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸ਼ਾਸਤਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁਰਾਣ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਵਿ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਛੰਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਲੰਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਰਸ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਨੁਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਚਾਰੀ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸਥਾਈ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਰਸ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਲੰਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਛੰਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਵਿ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁਰਾਣ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸ਼ਾਸਤਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵੇਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਸਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਧਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਨੇਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਰਤ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤਪ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦਾਨ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਯੱਗ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤੀਰਥ ਇਸ਼ਨਾਨ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪਾਪ ਨਾਸ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁੰਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੁੱਖ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦੁੱਖ ਦੂਰ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਿੱਧ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ

ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਿੱਧ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦੁੱਖ ਦੂਰ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੁੱਖ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪਾਪ ਨਾਸ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁੰਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤੀਰਥ ਇਸ਼ਨਾਨ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਯੱਗ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦਾਨ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤਪ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਰਤ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਨੇਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਧਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਸਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵੇਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸ਼ਾਸਤਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁਰਾਣ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਵਿ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਛੰਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਲੰਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਰਸ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਿਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਨੁਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਚਾਰੀ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸਥਾਈ ਭਾਵ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਰਸ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਅਲੰਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਛੰਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਵਿ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਗ੍ਰੰਥ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁਰਾਣ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸ਼ਾਸਤਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵੇਦ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੰਸਕਾਰ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਧਰਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਨੇਮ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਵਰਤ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤਪ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦਾਨ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਯੱਗ ਪੂਰੇ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਤੀਰਥ ਇਸ਼ਨਾਨ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪਾਪ ਨਾਸ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਪੁੰਨ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਸੁੱਖ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਦੁੱਖ ਦੂਰ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ ਅਤੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਹੀ ਕਾਰਜ ਸਿੱਧ ਹੋ ਜਾਂਦੇ ਹਨ

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

No 2.22

Secy:

(247)

Reject as
does no ground exist
to reconsidering
the order of 21st December 1895
and
further inform Petr:
that breach of trust
by further involves in the
ordinary course the
forfeiture of the Sanad

Hk

2/7/96

Returned with reference
No 647
dated 27/7
Received
27/7/96

ನಂಬರ್ 249 ಪಿಲ್ 11

(15)